

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,037	06/24/2003	Cristian Petculescu	MSFT-1587/302202.1	1781	
41505 75	590 07/28/2006	EXAMINER			
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			HWANG, JOON H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2166		
				DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/603,037	PETCULESCU ET A	PETCULESCU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Joon H. Hwang	2166			

	Joon H. Hwang	2166	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover si	heet with the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT		· ·	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion of the periods: 	n the same day as fowing replies: (1) an otice of Appeal (with	iling a Notice of Appeal. To avoid at amendment, affidavit, or other evide appeal fee) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	late of the final rejection	ı .	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from	the mailing date of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding a atutory period for reply o	mount of the fee. The appropriate extension in the final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37	' CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or s		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta	tter form for appeal		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· · · ·		(DTOL 204)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		otice of Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		d in a separate, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-16,18-24,26,27 and 29-48</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			ľ
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			1
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejection by and was not earlie	ons under appeal and/or appellant fa er presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of th	e claims after entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place ti	he application in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO	D-1449) Paper No(s)	
			linde
		Je	ON HWANG
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claim 1 and claim 40 overcome the 101 rejection. "A computer-readable medium comprising at least one of volatile and non-volatile storage" of claim 11 is still sufficiently broad so as to encompass intangible media such as communication media. "A computer-readable storage medium" is suggested to overcome the 101 rejection.